arXiv:1411.3351v1 [math.CO] 13 Oct 2014

NON-RECURSIVE FREENESS AND NON-RIGIDITY OF PLANE ARRANGEMENTS

TAKURO ABE, MICHAEL CUNTZ, HIRAKU KAWANOUE, AND TAKESHI NOZAWA

Abstract. In the category of free arrangements, inductively and recursively free arrangements are important. In particular, in the former, Terao's open problem asking whether freeness depends only on combinatorics is true. A long standing problem whether all free arrangements are recursively free or not was settled by the second author and Hoge very recently, by giving a free but non-recursively free plane arrangement consisting of 27 planes. In this paper, we construct free but non-recursively free plane arrangements consisting of 13 and 15 planes, and show that the example with 13 planes is the smallest in the sense of the cardinality of planes. In other words, all free plane arrangements consisting of at most 12 planes are recursively free. To show this, we completely classify all free plane arrangements in terms of inductive freeness and three exceptions when the number of planes is at most 12. Several properties of the 15 plane arrangement are proved by computer programs. Also, these two examples solve negatively a problem posed by Yoshinaga on the moduli spaces, (inductive) freeness and, rigidity of free arrangements.

1. Introduction

In the study of hyperplane arrangements, an important problem is to understand their freeness. In general, to determine whether a given arrangement is free or not is very difficult, and there is essentially only one way to check it, Saito's criterion (Theorem 2.1). On the other hand, there is a nice way to construct a free arrangement from a given free arrangement, called the addition-deletion theorem (Theorem 2.3). Since the empty arrangement is free, there is a natural question whether every free arrangement can be obtained, starting from the empty arrangement, by applying addition and deletion theorems. For simplicity, for the rest of this section, let us concentrate our interest on central arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$. We say that a central arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is *inductively free* if it can be constructed by using only the addition theorem from the empty arrangement, and *recursively free* if we use both the addition and deletion theorems to construct it. A free arrangement which is not inductively free was found very soon (see Example 4.59 in [8] for example). However, a free but non-recursively free arrangement had not been found for a long time. Very recently, the second author and Hoge found a first such example [5], which consists of 27 planes over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ where ζ is a primitive fifth root in $\mathbb{C}$. The aim of this paper is to give two new examples of free but non-recursively free arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$ which have some further remarkable properties. Our examples consist of 13 and 15 planes defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the former is the smallest. To show that there are no such arrangements when the number of planes is strictly less than 13, we also investigate the set of all free arrangements $\mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$. In other words, we give a complete classification of such free arrangements in terms of inductive, recursive freeness and three exceptions given in Definitions 3.2, 4.2 and 5.2. Now let us state our main theorem.

The first author's work was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), No. 24740012.

The third author's work was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), No. 23740016.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a central arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$.*

(1) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is free with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is recursively free. More precisely, $\mathcal{A}$ is either inductively free or one of the following arrangements characterized by their lattice structures.*
   (i) *A dual Hesse arrangement, i.e., the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = 9$, whose set $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ of codimension 2 intersections consists of 12 triple lines.*
   (ii) *A pentagonal arrangement, i.e., the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = 11$ such that $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ consists of 10 double lines, 5 triple lines, 5 quadruple lines and such that any $H \in \mathcal{A}$ contains at most 5 lines of $L_2(\mathcal{A})$.*
   (iii) *A monomial arrangement associated to the group $G(4, 4, 3)$, i.e., the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = 12$, whose $L_2(\mathcal{A})$ consists of 16 triple lines and 3 quadruple lines.*

   Moreover, the lattices of the arrangements in (i), (ii), and (iii) are realized over $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]$, $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$, respectively. In particular, all free arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$ are inductively free when $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 8$ or $|\mathcal{A}| = 10$.

(2) *There exists a free but not recursively free arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = 13$.*

Our proof is based on combinatorial methods. We can check Theorem 1.1 by easy computations by hand, or just drawing a nice picture of our arrangement. Theorem 1.1 contains a characterization of free arrangements $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$. We also find an example which is free but not recursively free with 15 planes by computer calculations.

Previous research in this direction was performed especially from the viewpoint of the holy grail in the field of arrangements, Terao's open problem (see [10], [11], [12], [17], [18], [19], [8], [15]):

Open problem 1.2 (Terao). Is the freeness of the logarithmic derivation module of any arrangement over a fixed field K a purely combinatorial property of its intersection lattice?

To be more precise: are there arrangements $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ in the same vector space V such that $L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cong L(\mathcal{A}_2)$, $D(\mathcal{A}_1)$ is free, and $D(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is not free? We formulate Terao's open problem not as a conjecture here, because originally it was a problem posed by Terao, and there is some evidence on a non-dependency of the freeness on combinatorics; we quote Ziegler [19]: "We believe that Terao's conjecture over large fields is in fact false."

Terao's open problem was checked when $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 11$ in [13], and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$ in [6]. By Theorem 1.1, we can give another proof of Terao's open problem when $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$ which is originally due to Faenzi and Vallès.

Corollary 1.3 (Faenzi-Vallès [6])**.** *Freeness of arrangements depends only on their combinatorics for central arrangements $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 12$.*

By investigating the structure of the classification in Theorem 1.1, we can say that almost all the free arrangements with small exponents are inductively free.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a free arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a, b)$. If $\min(a, b) \leq 4$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is either inductively free or a dual Hesse arrangement appearing in Theorem 1.1.*

Another formulation of Problem 1.2 is using the moduli space of all arrangements whose intersection lattice is a given lattice, see Definition 2.16 for details:

Open problem 1.5. Does a lattice L exist such that the moduli space $\mathcal{V}(L)$ contains a free and a non-free arrangement?

Not much is known about this moduli space regarding freeness; Yuzvinsky [16] proved that the free arrangements form an open subset in $\mathcal{V}(L)$ (see also [15, Theorem 1.50] for the case of dimension three). The first intuition one gets when working with these notions is, that either the moduli space is very big and the free arrangements are inductively free, or the moduli space is zero dimensional and all its elements are Galois conjugates (let us call such an arrangement *rigid*). Yoshinaga proposed the following problem which is stronger than Terao's open problem since the property of being free is invariant under Galois automorphisms:

Problem 1.6 (Yoshinaga, [15, p. 20, (11)]). Is a free arrangement either inductively free, or rigid? In other words, does the following inclusion hold?

$$\{\text{Free arrangements}\}\subset\{\text{Inductively free}\}\cup\{\text{Rigid}\}.$$

Beyond the fact that we find new small arrangements which are free but not recursively free, our examples with 13 and 15 planes provide a negative answer to Yoshinaga's problem 1.6, i.e., the following holds.

Theorem 1.7. *Let L be the intersection lattice of our 13 or 15 plane arrangements. Then the moduli spaces $\mathcal{V}(L)$ of them are one-dimensional (hence not rigid), and not inductively free, but free. In particular, these give negative answers to Problem 1.6.*

We would like to emphasize that to our knowledge the two lattices presented here are the only two known such examples. Lattices satisfying these strong properties appear to be extremely rare.

Remark 1.8. The example with 13 planes was found by the second author in [4] and by the fourth author in [2] independently. The example with 15 planes was found and investigated by the second author in [4]. The preprints [2] and [4] will not be published; all the results of [2] and [4] have been merged into this paper.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In §2, we introduce several definitions and results which will be used in this paper. In §3, §4 and §5, we prove Theorem 1.1 (1). In §6, we prove Theorem 1.1 (2). In §7, we exhibit the example with 15 planes having the same properties as the example with 13 planes by using algorithms presented in the same section.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to M. Yoshinaga for his helpful comments.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize several definitions and results which will be used in this paper. For the basic reference on the arrangement theory, we refer to Orlik-Terao [8].

2.1. **Basic facts on arrangements.** Let $V=\mathbb{C}^n$. An *arrangement of hyperplanes* $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set of affine hyperplanes in V. An arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is *central* if every hyperplane is linear. For a hyperplane $H\subset V$, define

$$\mathcal{A}\cap H=\{H\cap H'\neq\emptyset\mid H'\in\mathcal{A},\ H'\neq H\}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}\cap H$ is an arrangement in an $(n-1)$-dimensional vector space H. Let us define a *cone* $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{A}$ of an affine arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ as follows. If $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by a polynomial equation $Q=0$, then $\mathrm{c}\mathcal{A}$ is defined by $z\cdot \mathrm{c}Q=0$, where $\mathrm{c}Q$ is the homogenized polynomial of Q by the new coordinate z. When $\mathcal{A}$ is central, let us fix a defining linear form $\alpha_H\in V^*$ for each $H\in\mathcal{A}$. From now on, let us concentrate our interest on central arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^n$ when $n=2$ or 3. So arrangements of lines or planes. Even when $n=3$, we view arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$ as arrangements of lines in $P^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ and call them line arrangements when there are no confusions. Let $S=S(V^*)=\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be the coordinate ring of V. For a central arrangement $\mathcal{A}$, define

$$D(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\theta\in\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}S\,\partial/\partial x_i\mid\theta(\alpha_H)\in S\,\alpha_H\text{ for all }H\in\mathcal{A}\right\}.$$

$D(\mathcal{A})$ is called the *logarithmic derivation module*. $D(\mathcal{A})$ is reflexive, but not free in general. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is free with *exponents* $(d_1,\dots,d_n)$ if $D(\mathcal{A})$ has a homogeneous free basis $\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n$ with $\deg\theta_i=d_i$ $(i=1,\dots,n)$. Here the *degree* of a homogeneous derivation $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^n f_i\partial/\partial x_i$ is defined by $\deg f_i$ for all non-zero f_i. Note that the Euler derivation $\theta_E=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\partial/\partial x_i$ is contained in $D(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, it is easy to show that $D(\mathcal{A})$ has $S\,\theta_E$

as its direct summand for a non-empty arrangement $\mathcal{A}$. Hence $\exp(\mathcal{A})$ always contains 1 if $\mathcal{A}$ is not empty. To verify the freeness of $\mathcal{A}$, Saito's criterion is essential.

Theorem 2.1 (Saito's criterion, [9])**.** *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *$\mathcal{A}$ is free with basis $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\ell$.*
(2) *$\det[\theta_i(x_j)] = c \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H$ for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{C}$.*
(3) *$\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are all homogeneous derivations, S-independent and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg \theta_i = |\mathcal{A}|$.*

For a *multiplicity* $m\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we can define the logarithmic derivation module $D(\mathcal{A}, m)$ of the *multiarrangement* $(\mathcal{A}, m)$ by

$$D(\mathcal{A}, m) = \left\{ \theta \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} S\,\partial/\partial x_i \mid \theta(\alpha_H) \in S\,\alpha_H^{m(H)} \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

The freeness, exponents, and Saito's criterion for a multiarrangement can be defined in the same manner as in the case of arrangements without multiplicities. Note that the Euler derivation is not contained in $D(\mathcal{A}, m)$ in general. Recall that every central (multi)-arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^2$ is free since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^2 = 2$ and $D(\mathcal{A}, m)$ is reflexive. Hence the first non-free central arrangement occurs when $n = 3$. Define the *intersection lattice* $L(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$L(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H \mid \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Reverse inclusion defines a poset structure on $L(\mathcal{A})$. The poset $L(\mathcal{A})$ is considered to be the combinatorial data of $\mathcal{A}$. Define the *Möbius function* $\mu\colon L(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\mu(V) = 1, \quad \mu(X) = -\sum_{Y \in L(\mathcal{A}),\ X \subsetneq Y \subset V} \mu(Y) \quad (X \neq V).$$

Then the *characteristic polynomial* $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = \sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{A})} \mu(X)\, t^{\dim X}$. It is known that

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = t^n \operatorname{Poin}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \textstyle\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H, -t^{-1}).$$

Hence $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t)$ is both a combinatorial and a topological invariant of an arrangement. The freeness of $\mathcal{A}$ has implications for $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t)$:

Theorem 2.2 (Factorization, [11])**.** *Assume that a central arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is free with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - d_i).$$

Theorem 2.2 implies that the algebraic structure of an arrangement might control its combinatorics and its topology. However, the converse is not true in general. For example, there are non-free arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$ whose characteristic polynomials factorize over the ring of integers (see [8]). Hence it is natural to ask how much the algebraic structure and the combinatorics of arrangements are related. The most important problem in this context is Terao's open problem 1.2, which is open even when $n = 3$. In [10], Terao introduced a nice family of free arrangements in which the open problem 1.2 is true. To state it, let us introduce the following key theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2.3 (Addition-Deletion, [10])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a free arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, d_1, d_2)$.*

(1) (*the addition theorem*). *Let $H \notin \mathcal{A}$ be a linear plane. Then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H\}$ is free with $\exp(1, d_1, d_2 + 1)$ if and only if $|\mathcal{A} \cap H| = 1 + d_1$.*
(2) (*the deletion theorem*). *Let $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}$ is free with $\exp(1, d_1, d_2 - 1)$ if and only if $|\mathcal{A}' \cap H| = 1 + d_1$.*

Definition 2.4.

(1) A central plane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is *inductively free* if there is a filtration of subarrangements $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_\ell = \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\mathcal{A}_i| = i$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ and every $\mathcal{A}_i$ is free.
(2) A central plane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is *recursively free* if there is a sequence of arrangements $\emptyset = \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_t = \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\mathcal{B}_i \triangle \mathcal{B}_{i+1}| = 1$ $(0 \leq i \leq t-1)$ and every $\mathcal{B}_i$ is free.

Roughly speaking, an inductively free arrangement is a free arrangement constructed from an empty arrangement by using only the addition theorem, and a recursively free arrangement is a free arrangement constructed from an empty arrangement by using both the addition and deletion theorems. It is known that in the category of inductively free arrangements, the open problem 1.2 is true, but open in that of recursively free arrangements.

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.3 and Definition 2.4 are different from those in an arbitrary dimensional case. They coincide when $n = 3$ because every central arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^2$ is free. For a general definition, see [10] and [8] for example.

2.2. **Properties of free line arrangements.** In this subsection, we introduce several special properties on free line arrangements which will be used from §3 to §6.

Definition 2.6. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define the sets $\mathcal{F}_\ell$, $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{R}_\ell$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\ell &= \left\{\text{free arrangement } \mathcal{A} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ with } |\mathcal{A}| = \ell\right\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_\ell &= \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \mid \mathcal{A}\text{: inductively free}\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_\ell &= \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \mid \mathcal{A}\text{: recursively free}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}_\ell \subset \mathcal{R}_\ell \subset \mathcal{F}_\ell$ by definition.

For the rest of this section, we will concentrate on central arrangements in $\mathbb{C}^3$. One of the main purposes of this paper is to clarify the difference between $\mathcal{I}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq 12$. Since $\mathcal{I}_\ell = \mathcal{F}_\ell$ for $\ell \leq 1$, we may assume $\ell \geq 2$. For $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = |\mathcal{A} \cap H|$. The following is the foundation stone of our analysis in this paper.

Theorem 2.7 ([1])**.** *Assume $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)(t-a)(t-b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and that there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n_{\mathcal{A},H} > \min(a, b)$. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is free if and only if $n_{\mathcal{A},H} \in \{a+1, b+1\}$.*

Definition 2.8. In view of Theorem 2.7, we define the subset $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ of $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ as

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \mid \exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a, b),\ \max_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} \leq \min(a, b)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \mathcal{I}_\ell = \emptyset$ by Theorems 2.3 and 2.7.

Lemma 2.9. *If $\mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} = \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\ell-1}$), then $\mathcal{F}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_\ell \sqcup \mathcal{I}_\ell$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_\ell \cup \mathcal{R}_\ell$).*

Proof. Take $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}_\ell$ and set $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a, b)$. By Theorem 2.7, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}_\ell$ if and only if there exists a line $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = a+1$ or $b+1$. Therefore, by the deletion theorem, we have $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1}$. Now the assertions above are clear. □

In the rest of this paper, we regard a central arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ as a line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. For a line arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, we set

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid P \in H\},\ \mu_P(\mathcal{A}) = |\mathcal{A}_P| - 1,\ \mu_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}} \mu_P(\mathcal{A}).$$

Note that $\mu_P(\mathcal{A})$ is a reformulation of the Möbius function for $L_2(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, we can express $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t)$ as follows by definition.

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)\left\{t^2 - (|\mathcal{A}| - 1)(t+1) + \mu_{\mathcal{A}}\right\}.$$

Concerning the set $\mathcal{S}_\ell$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell$ with $\ell \geq 2$ and $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a, b)$. Assume $\mu_P(\mathcal{A}) \geq \min(a, b) - 1$ for some $P \in \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_\ell$. In particular, $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \emptyset$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq 6$.*

Proof. We assume $a \leq b$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$. Let P_0 be a point in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose $\mu_{P_0}(\mathcal{A}) \geq a$. If there exists $H \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{P_0}$, we have $n_{\mathcal{A},H} \geq |\mathcal{A}_{P_0} \cap H| \geq a+1$, which contradicts to $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{P_0} = \mathcal{A}$, and it follows that $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, 0, \ell-1)$. Thus $a = 0$, but there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ with $n_{\mathcal{A},H} \geq 1$ since $\ell \geq 2$, which contradicts to $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$. Suppose $\mu_{P_0}(A) = a-1$. Since $|\mathcal{A}_{P_0}| = a$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$, all intersection points of $\mathcal{A}$ lie on $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}_{P_0}} H$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is super solvable (see Definition 2.32 of [8] for the details) and $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a-1, \ell-a)$, which contradicts to the condition on a. If $\ell \leq 6$, the condition for the lemma automatically holds since $\mu_P(\mathcal{A}) \geq 1$ for some $P \in \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $a \leq \lfloor (\ell-1)/2 \rfloor \leq 2$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \emptyset$. □

Now we introduce the invariant $F(\mathcal{A})$, which will be used to classify $\mathcal{S}_\ell$.

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a line arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote

$$M_i(\mathcal{A}) = \{P \in {\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}}^2 \mid \mu_P(\mathcal{A}) = i\}$$

and set the invariant $F(\mathcal{A})$ as

$$F(\mathcal{A}) = [F_1(\mathcal{A}), F_2(\mathcal{A}), \ldots], \quad F_i(\mathcal{A}) = |M_i(\mathcal{A})| \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Lemma 2.12. *The invariant $F(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the following formulae.*

$$\sum_i iF_i(\mathcal{A}) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}, \ \sum_i (i+1)F_i(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H}, \ \sum_i \binom{i+1}{2} F_i(\mathcal{A}) = \binom{|\mathcal{A}|}{2}.$$

Proof. The left equation is clear by definition. Since $P \in M_i(\mathcal{A})$ is contained in $(i+1)$ lines of $\mathcal{A}$, the middle equation holds. Finally, regarding all the intersection points of $\mathcal{A}$ as the concentrations of the intersections of 2 lines of $\mathcal{A}$, we have the right equation. □

Now we determine all the possibilities of $F(\mathcal{A})$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$ ($\ell \leq 12$).

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 12}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, a, b)$. Then we have*

$$(\ell, \min(a,b), F(\mathcal{A})) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} (9,4,[0,12]), & (11,5,[1,14,2]), & (11,5,[4,11,3]), \\ (11,5,[7,8,4]), & (11,5,[10,5,5]), & (12,5,[0,16,3]) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular, we have $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{F}_\ell = \mathcal{I}_\ell$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq 8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{10} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Note that $b = \ell - 1 - a$. We may assume $a \leq (\ell-1)/2$. By Lemma 2.10, we may assume $\ell \geq 7$ and $F_i = 0$ for $i \geq a-1$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)(t-a)(t-b)$ by Theorem 2.2, we have $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} = ab + \ell - 1 = (\ell-1)(a+1) - a^2$. Also, since $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$, we have $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} \leq a\ell$. Thus we have the inequalities as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a-2} iF_i(\mathcal{A}) = (\ell-1)(a+1) - a^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{a-2} (i+1)F_i(\mathcal{A}) \leq a\ell, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{a-2} \binom{i+1}{2} F_i(\mathcal{A}) = \binom{\ell}{2}.$$

Solving above inequalities under the condition $0 \leq a \leq (\ell-1)/2$ and $7 \leq \ell \leq 12$, we obtain only 6 triplets $[\ell, a, F]$ appearing in the right hand side of the statement. Now $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \emptyset$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq 8$ and $\mathcal{S}_{10} = \emptyset$ are clear. By Lemma 2.9, we have $\mathcal{F}_\ell = \mathcal{I}_\ell$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq 8$. □

Definition 2.14. For $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set $\mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = \sum_{P \in H} \mu_P(\mathcal{A})$ and

$$M_i(H, \mathcal{A}) = M_i(\mathcal{A}) \cap H, \ F_{H,i}(\mathcal{A}) = |M_i(H, \mathcal{A})|, \ F_H(\mathcal{A}) = [F_{H,1}(\mathcal{A}), F_{H,2}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots].$$

Lemma 2.15. *For $H \in \mathcal{A}$, the invariant $F_H(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the following formulae.*

$$\sum_i F_{H,i}(\mathcal{A}) = n_{\mathcal{A},H}, \ \sum_i iF_{H,i}(\mathcal{A}) = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = |\mathcal{A}| - 1, \ \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} F_{H,i}(\mathcal{A}) = (i+1)F_i(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. The formulae above are clear by definitions and the fact that $|\mathcal{A}_P| = \mu_P(\mathcal{A}) + 1$. □

In sections from §3 to §6, we determine an arrangement $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$ for $\ell \leq 12$. Namely, we determine the lattice structures of $\mathcal{A}$ up to the permutations $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ of indices of hyperplanes and determine their realizations in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ up to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$. The hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_\ell\}$, while the defining equation of each H_i is denoted by h_i. The intersection points of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mathcal{A}_P = \{H_{a_i} \mid i \in I\}$ is denoted by $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. The line passing through P and Q is denoted by $\overline{PQ}$. For the coordinate calculation, we regard $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ as the union of affine part $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the infinity line H_∞.

2.3. **Intersection lattices and moduli spaces.**

Definition 2.16 (The moduli space of a graded lattice)**.** Assume that $(L, \leq)$ is a graded lattice, i.e., all maximal chains in L have the same length $r+1$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies the existence of a map $\mathrm{rk} : L \to \{0, \ldots, r\}$ such that for fixed k with $0 \leq k \leq r$ the set

$$L_k := \{X \in L \mid \mathrm{rk}(X) = k\}$$

is an antichain.

Now let K be a field. Let $\mathcal{U}(L)$ be the set of arrangements $\mathcal{A}$ with $|L_1|$ hyperplanes in K^r such that there exists an isomorphism of posets $\varphi : L(\mathcal{A}) \to L$ with $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) = L_1$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is not an algebraic variety but still a locally closed set with respect to the Zariski topology. For our purpose, the right object to study is the *moduli space* $\mathcal{V}(L)$ of L which we define as

$$\mathcal{V}(L) := \mathcal{U}(L)/\operatorname{PGL}_r(K),$$

where the group $\mathrm{PGL}_r(K)$ acts on the linear forms of the arrangements. In other words, $\mathcal{V}(L)$ is the locally closed set of "all arrangements with intersection lattice L up to projectivities".

If L is a poset, we write $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$ for the set of automorphisms of posets, i.e., the set of bijections preserving the relations in the poset.

3. Determination of $\mathcal{S}_9$

In this section, we show that $\mathcal{S}_9$ consists of dual Hesse arrangements.

3.1. **Lattice structure of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$.**

We determine the lattice of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$. By Proposition 2.13, we have $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 12]$. Note that $F_H(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 4]$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$ since $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 12]$ and $\sum_i iF_{H,i}(\mathcal{A}) = \ell - 1 = 8$. Concerning $M_2(H_9, \mathcal{A})$, we may set

$$\{1,2,9\}, \{3,4,9\}, \{5,6,9\}, \{7,8,9\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

Since $H_1 \cap H_3$ lies on H_5, H_6, H_7 or H_8, we may set $\{1,3,5\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ by symmetry. Since $H_1 \cap H_7 \neq H_1 \cap H_8$, they are other 2 points of $M_2(H_1, \mathcal{A})$. Thus we may set $\{1,4,7\}, \{1,6,8\} \in M_2(H_1, \mathcal{A})$ by symmetry of $(3,5)(4,6)$. Namely,

$$\{1,3,5\}, \{1,4,7\}, \{1,6,8\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

Investigating $M_2(H_3, \mathcal{A})$, $M_2(H_4, \mathcal{A})$ and $M_2(H_2, \mathcal{A})$, it is easy to see

$$\{2,3,8\}, \{3,6,7\}, \{2,4,6\}, \{4,5,8\}, \{2,5,7\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

Now we obtain all the points of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$, thus the lattice structure of $\mathcal{A}$ is determined.

3.2. **Realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$.**

We determine the realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We may set H_9 as the infinity line H_∞, $h_1 = x$, $h_2 = x - 1$, $h_3 = y$, $h_4 = y - 1$ and

$$\{1,6,8\} = (0,p), \{2,5,7\} = (1,q), \{3,6,7\} = (r,0), \{4,5,8\} = (s,1) \quad (p,q,r,s \neq 0,1).$$

Note that $(0,0), (1,q), (s,1) \in H_5$, $(1,1), (0,p), (r,0) \in H_6$, $(0,1), (1,q), (r,0) \in H_7$ and $(1,0), (0,p), (s,1) \in H_8$. Therefore we have

$$sq = (1-r)(1-p) = r(1-q) = p(1-s) = 1.$$

Solving these equations, we have

$$(p, q, r, s) = (-\omega^2, -\omega, -\omega, -\omega^2),$$

where ω is a primitive third root of unity, and h_i for $5 \le i \le 8$ as follows.

$$h_5 = y + \omega x,\ h_6 = y + \omega x + \omega^2,\ h_7 = y - \omega^2 x - 1,\ h_8 = y - \omega^2 x + \omega^2.$$

By this construction, for the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_9^* = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_9 \mid \sigma(L(\mathcal{A})) = L(\mathcal{A})\}$ preserving the lattice, there exists a $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action sending each H_i to $H_{\sigma(i)}$, or sending each H_i to $\overline{H_{\sigma(i)}}$, where $\overline{H_i}$ stands for the Galois conjugate of H_i by $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]/\mathbb{Q})$. Note also that $\mathcal{A}$ is transferred to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by $[(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, x, z)] \in \mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$, which sends H_i to $\overline{H_{\mu(i)}}$ where $\mu = (1, 3)(2, 4)(7, 8) \in \mathfrak{S}_9^*$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is realized uniquely up to the $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action.

3.3. **Verifying $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9 \subset \mathcal{R}_9$.** We check the freeness of $\mathcal{A}$ realized in §3.2 and show that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_9$. We set $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H_{10}\}$ where $h_{10} = x - y$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cap H_{10} = \{(0, 0),\ (1, 1),\ ((1-\omega^2)^{-1}, (1-\omega^2)^{-1}),\ ((1-\omega)^{-1}, (1-\omega)^{-1}),\ H_{10} \cap H_\infty\}.$$

Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}} + 5$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{A}_1, t) = (t-1)(t-4)(t-5)$. By Theorem 2.7, we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{10}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_1) = (1, 4, 5)$, and hence $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$. We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_7\}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_1, H_\infty} = 5$, we have $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{F}_9$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_2) = (1, 4, 4)$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_2, H_7} = 5$, we have $\mathcal{A}_3 \in \mathcal{F}_8 = \mathcal{I}_8$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_9$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_9$.

In fact, to check whether $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_9$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_9$ or not, we have only to check $F(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_9$ satisfies $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 12]$, then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$.*

Proof. For any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, since $9 - 1 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = 2n_{\mathcal{A},H}$, we have $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 4$. □

Definition 3.2. An arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ is called a *dual Hesse* arrangement if it is $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-equivalent to $(\varphi_{\mathrm{dH}} = 0)$, where

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{dH}} = (x^3 - y^3)(y^3 - z^3)(z^3 - x^3).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = (\varphi_{\mathrm{dH}} = 0)$ satisfies $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 12]$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{S}_9 = \{\text{dual Hesse arrangements}\} \subset \mathcal{R}_9.$$

3.4. **Addition to $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_9$.** The structures of $\mathcal{F}_9$ and $\mathcal{F}_{10}$ are given as below.

Proposition 3.3.
(1) *Let $H \in \mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{10}$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{S}_9$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ is unique up to the* $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$*-action.*
(2) *$\mathcal{F}_9 = \mathcal{R}_9 = \mathcal{I}_9 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_9$ and $\mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$.*

Proof.
(1) We may assume $\mathcal{A}$ has the description as in §3.1 and §3.2. By Theorem 2.3, we have $n_{\mathcal{A}_1, H} = 5$ and hence $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3, 0, 2]$. Since $H \notin \mathcal{A}$, H is one of the following.

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\overline{\{1,2,9\}\{3,6,7\}}, & \overline{\{1,2,9\}\{4,5,8\}}, & \overline{\{1,3,5\}\{2,4,6\}}, & \overline{\{1,3,5\}\{7,8,9\}}, \\
\overline{\{1,4,7\}\{2,3,8\}}, & \overline{\{1,4,7\}\{5,6,9\}}, & \overline{\{1,6,8\}\{2,5,7\}}, & \overline{\{1,6,8\}\{3,4,9\}}, \\
\overline{\{2,3,8\}\{5,6,9\}}, & \overline{\{2,4,6\}\{7,8,9\}}, & \overline{\{2,5,7\}\{3,4,9\}}, & \overline{\{3,6,7\}\{4,5,8\}}.
\end{array}$$

Recall that any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_9^*$ is realized by the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-3}]/\mathbb{Q})$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{S}_9^*$ acts transitively on the pairs in the above list. Observe that each points of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ lies on two candidates of H. We denote the $\mathfrak{S}_9^*$-equivalence by the symbol "$\sim$". First note that $(\{1, 2, 9\}, \{3, 6, 7\}) \sim (\{3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 9\})$ by $(1, 3)(2, 6)(7, 9) \in$

$\mathfrak{S}_9^*$ and $(\{1,2,9\},\{3,6,7\}) \sim (\{1,2,9\},\{4,5,8\})$ by $(3,4)(5,7)(6,8) \in \mathfrak{S}_9^*$. As the point transferred from $\{1,2,9\}$ has the same property as above, it follows that $(\{1,2,9\},\{3,6,7\}) \sim (\{3,6,7\},\{4,5,8\})$. Namely, we have

$$(\{1,2,9\},\{3,6,7\}) \sim (\{1,2,9\},\{4,5,8\}) \sim (\{3,6,7\},\{4,5,8\}).$$

By applying $(2,3)(4,7)(5,9), (2,7)(4,9)(6,8), (2,6)(3,5)(8,9) \in \mathfrak{S}_9^*$, We have

$$(\{1,2,9\},\{3,6,7\}) \sim (\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,6\}) \sim (\{1,4,7\},\{2,3,8\}) \sim (\{1,6,8\},\{2,5,7\}).$$

Therefore we have the following, which completes the proof of the uniqueness of $\mathcal{A}_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\{1,2,9\},\{3,6,7\}) &\sim (\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,6\}) \sim (\{1,3,5\},\{7,8,9\}) \sim (\{2,4,6\},\{7,8,9\})\\ &\sim (\{1,4,7\},\{2,3,8\}) \sim (\{1,4,7\},\{5,6,9\}) \sim (\{2,3,8\},\{5,6,9\})\\ &\sim (\{1,6,8\},\{2,5,7\}) \sim (\{1,6,8\},\{3,4,9\}) \sim (\{2,5,7\},\{3,4,9\}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that H_{10} in §3.3 is $\overline{\{1,3,5\}\{2,4,6\}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \{H_{10}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$. By the uniqueness of $\mathcal{A}_1$, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$. Therefore (1) is verified.

(2) Since $\mathcal{F}_8 = \mathcal{I}_8$ and $\mathcal{S}_9 \subset \mathcal{R}_9$, we have $\mathcal{F}_9 = \mathcal{I}_9 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_9 = \mathcal{R}_9$ by Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{10}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{10} = \emptyset$, there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{F}_9 = \mathcal{I}_9 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_9$. If $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{I}_9$, then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$. If $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{S}_9$, we also have $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{10}$ by (1). Therefore we have $\mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$. □

We remark that now Theorem 1.1 is established for $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 10$ by Propositions 2.13 and 3.3. We give the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The proof is by the induction on $\ell = |\mathcal{A}|$. If $\ell \leq 10$, we have nothing to prove. Assume that $\ell \geq 11$. If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}_\ell$, then $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1}$. Since $\exp(\mathcal{A}') = (1, a-1, b)$ or $(1, a, b-1)$, we have $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{I}_{\ell-1}$ by induction hypothesis, and hence $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell$. Thus we may assume $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$. We set $a \leq b$ and take $H \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\mu_P(\mathcal{A}) \leq a-2$ for any $P \in H$. By definition of S_ℓ, we have $n_{\mathcal{A},H} \leq a$. However it is a contradiction since we have the following inequalities.

$$11 - 1 \leq \ell - 1 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H} \leq (a-2)a \leq (4-2)\cdot 4 = 8.$$ □

4. Determination of $\mathcal{S}_{11}$

In this section, we show that $\mathcal{S}_{11}$ consists of pentagonal arrangements.

4.1. **Absence of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$ with $F(\mathcal{A}) = [1,14,2]$.** Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$. By Proposition 2.13, we have $F(\mathcal{A}) = [1,14,2]$, $[4,11,3]$, $[7,8,4]$ or $[10,5,5]$. Suppose $F(\mathcal{A}) = [1,14,2]$. Take $P \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$. Since $|M_1(\mathcal{A}) \cup M_3(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{P\}| = 2$ and $|\mathcal{A}_P| = 4$, there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}_P$ such that $M_1(H,\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$ and $M_3(H,\mathcal{A}) = \{P\}$. Then it follows that $11 - 1 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = 0 + 2F_{H,2}(\mathcal{A}) + 3 \cdot 1$, which is impossible. Therefore we have $F(\mathcal{A}) = [4,11,3], [7,8,4]$ or $[10,5,5]$. In the following subsections, we show that only the case $F(\mathcal{A}) = [10,5,5]$ occurs, which corresponds to the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is a pentagonal arrangement.

4.2. **Subarrangement $\mathcal{A}'$ of $\mathcal{A}$.** In the case $F(\mathcal{A}) = [4,11,3]$ or $[7,8,4]$, we construct a subarrangement $\mathcal{A}' = \{H_1, \ldots, H_{10}\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following.

$$F(\mathcal{A}') = [9,6,3], \quad n_{\mathcal{A}',H_i} = \begin{cases} 4 & i = 1 \\ \leq 5 & 2 \leq i \leq 10 \end{cases}, \quad M_3(\mathcal{A}') = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H_1 \cap H_2, \\ H_1 \cap H_3, \\ H_2 \cap H_3 \end{array} \right\}. \qquad (*)$$

Suppose $F(\mathcal{A}) = [4,11,3]$. Note that $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 4, 5$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, since $3 \cdot 3 < 10 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H}$. Thus $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 4, 5$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 2 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 11 + 4 \cdot 3 = 5 \cdot 11 - 2$, we may set $n_{\mathcal{A},H_1} = n_{\mathcal{A},H_2} = 4$ and $n_{\mathcal{A},H_i} = 5$ for $3 \leq i \leq 11$. Note that $F_{H_i,3}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$ for $i = 1,2$, since $2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 1 < 10 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H}$. Thus we may set $M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, $H_1 = \overline{P_2P_3}$ and $H_2 = \overline{P_1P_3}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A},H_1} = 4 = \mathcal{A}_{P_1} \cap H_1$, we have $\overline{P_1P_2} \in \mathcal{A}$, which we set H_3. Since $|\bigcup_{P \in M_3(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A}_P| = 4 \cdot 3 - 3 = 9 < 11$, we may set $H_{11} \cap M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$. Then $F_{\mathcal{A},H_{11}} = [0,5,0]$. Now it is easy to check that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_{11}\}$ satisfies the condition

$(*)$. Suppose $F(\mathcal{A}) = [7, 8, 4]$. Since $\sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 2\cdot 7 + 3\cdot 8 + 4\cdot 4 = 5\cdot 11 - 1$, we may assume $n_{\mathcal{A},H_1} = 4$ and $n_{\mathcal{A},H_i} = 5$ for $2 \le i \le 11$. Note that $F_{H_1,3}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 1, 4$ since $2\cdot 3 + 3\cdot 1 < \mu_{\mathcal{A},H_1} = 10 < 3\cdot 4$. Thus $F_{H_1,3}(\mathcal{A}) = 2, 3$. We set $M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ so that $P_1 \notin H_1 = \overline{P_2P_3}$. Since $|\mathcal{A}_{P_1} \cap H_1| = 4 = n_{\mathcal{A},H_1}$, we have $P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{A}_{P_1}$. Therefore we may set $H_2 = \overline{P_1P_3}$ and $H_3 = \overline{P_1P_2}$. Since $|\mathcal{A}_{P_4}| = 4$, we may set $M_3(\mathcal{A}) \cap H_{11} = \{P_4\}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A},H_{11}} = 5$ and $F_{H_{11},3}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, we have $F_{H_{11}}(\mathcal{A}) = [1, 3, 1]$. Now it is easy to check that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_{11}\}$ satisfies the condition $(*)$.

4.3. **Lattice structure of $\mathcal{A}'$.** First we determine $F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A}')$ for $1 \le i \le 10$. We have $n_{\mathcal{A}',H} = 4, 5$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}'$ since $|M_3(H, \mathcal{A}')| \le 2$ and $2+3\cdot 2 < 9 = \mu_{\mathcal{A}',H}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}',H_1} = 4$ and $F_{3,H_1}(\mathcal{A}') = 2$, we have $F_{H_1}(\mathcal{A}') = [1, 1, 2]$. Since $\bigcup_{P\in M_3(\mathcal{A}')} \mathcal{A}'_P = 4\cdot 3 - 3 = 9$, we may set $M_3(H_{10}, \mathcal{A}') = \emptyset$, and hence $F_{H_{10}} = [1, 4, 0]$. Since $H_2 \cap H_{10} \neq H_3 \cap H_{10}$, we may set $H_2 \cap H_{10} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}')$. Since $F_{H_2,3}(\mathcal{A}') = 2$, we have $F_{H_2}(\mathcal{A}') = [1, 1, 2]$. Since $\sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}'} n_{\mathcal{A}',H} = 2\cdot 9 + 3\cdot 6 + 4\cdot 3 = 5\cdot 10 - 2$, we have $n_{\mathcal{A}',H_i} = 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $n_{\mathcal{A}',H_i} = 5$ for $3 \le i \le 10$. We have $F_{H_3}(\mathcal{A}') = [3, 0, 2]$ and $F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A}') = [2, 2, 1]$ for $4 \le i \le 9$ since $F_{H_3,3}(\mathcal{A}') = 2$ and $F_{H_i,3}(\mathcal{A}') = 1$. As a conclusion, we have the following.

$$F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A}') = [1, 1, 2]\ (i = 1, 2),\ [3, 0, 2]\ (i = 3),\ [2, 2, 1]\ (4 \le i \le 9),\ [1, 4, 0]\ (i = 10).$$

Now we determine the lattice structure of $\mathcal{A}'$. We may set

$$M_3(\mathcal{A}') = \{P_1 = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}, P_2 = \{1, 3, 6, 7\}, P_3 = \{1, 2, 8, 9\}\}.$$

Note that $\{3, 8\}, \{3, 9\}, \{3, 10\} \in M_1(\mathcal{A}')$ since $F_{H_3}(\mathcal{A}') = [3, 0, 2]$. By symmetry of $(4, 5)$ or $(6, 7)$, we may set $\{1, 4, 10\}, \{2, 6, 10\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}')$. Since $H_8 \cap H_{10}$ lies on H_5 or H_7, we may set $\{5, 8, 10\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}')$ by symmetry of $(1, 2)(4, 6)(5, 7)$. We also have $\{7, 9, 10\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A}')$. Since $\{\{7, 9, 10\}\} \cup \left(\mathcal{A}'_{P_1} \cap H_7\right)$ defines all intersection points on H_7, we have $H_7 \cap H_8 \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$. By the same reasoning for $\{\{5, 8, 10\}\} \cup \left(\mathcal{A}'_{P_2} \cap H_8\right)$ on H_8, we have $H_4 \cap H_8 \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$. Thus we have $M_2(H_8, \mathcal{A}') = \{\{5, 8, 10\}, \{4, 7, 8\}\}$. Since $F_{H_i,2}(\mathcal{A}') = 2$ for $i = 5, 6, 9$, The last point of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is $\{5, 6, 9\}$. Therefore $M_2(\mathcal{A}')$, and hence $M_1(\mathcal{A}')$, are as follows, which determine the lattice of $\mathcal{A}'$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\mathcal{A}') &= \{\{1, 4, 10\},\ \{2, 6, 10\},\ \{4, 7, 8\},\ \{5, 6, 9\},\ \{5, 8, 10\},\ \{7, 9, 10\}\}, \\ M_1(\mathcal{A}') &= \{\{1, 5\},\ \{2, 7\},\ \{3, 8\},\ \{3, 9\},\ \{3, 10\},\ \{4, 6\},\ \{4, 9\},\ \{5, 7\},\ \{6, 8\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.4. **Realization of $\mathcal{A}'$.** We determine the realization of $\mathcal{A}'$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We may set H_{10} as the infinity line H_∞, $P_1 = (1, 0)$, $P_2 = (0, 1)$ and $P_3 = (0, 0)$. Then

$$h_1 = x,\ h_2 = y,\ h_3 = x + y - 1,\ h_4 = x - 1,\ h_6 = y - 1.$$

Set $\{4, 7, 8\} = (1, p)$ and $\{5, 6, 9\} = (q, 1)$ $(p, q \neq 0)$. Then we have

$$h_5 = x - (q - 1)y - 1,\ h_7 = (p - 1)x - y + 1,\ h_8 = px - y,\ h_9 = x - qy.$$

Since $H_5 \parallel H_8$ and $H_7 \parallel H_9$, we have $p(q - 1) = (p - 1)q = 1$. Therefore we conclude that $p = q = \zeta$ where ζ is a solution of $\zeta^2 - \zeta - 1 = 0$, and we may reset the equations as

$$h_5 = \zeta x - y - \zeta,\ h_7 = x - \zeta y + \zeta,\ h_8 = \zeta x - y,\ h_9 = x - \zeta y.$$

4.5. **Absence of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$ with $F(\mathcal{A}) = [4, 11, 3]$ or $[7, 8, 4]$.** We show that we cannot extend the realization of $\mathcal{A}'$ obtained above to $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \cup \{H_{11}\}$ is realizable. Suppose $F(\mathcal{A}) = [4, 11, 3]$. Recall that $F_{H_{11}}(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 5, 0]$. Since $|M_1(\mathcal{A}') \cap H_{11}| = 5$ and $M_1(\mathcal{A}') \subset \{\{1, 5\}, \{4, 9\}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=3,6,7} H_i$, we have $H_{11} = \overline{\{1, 5\}\{4, 9\}} = \overline{(0, -\zeta)(1, \zeta^{-1})}$ and $h_{11} = (1 - 2\zeta)x + y + \zeta$. Therefore $H_{10} \cap H_{11} \in M_1(\mathcal{A})$, a contradiction. Suppose $F(\mathcal{A}) = [7, 8, 4]$. Recall that $F_{H_{11}}(\mathcal{A}) = [1, 3, 1]$. Thus $M_1(H_{11}, \mathcal{A}) = \{H_i \cap H_{11}\}$ for some $1 \le i \le 10$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}',H_i} + 1 = n_{\mathcal{A},H_i} \le 5$, we have $i = 1$ or 2. We may set $H_1 \cap H_{11} \in M_1(\mathcal{A})$ by the symmetry of the coordinates x and y. Note that $|M_1(\mathcal{A}') \cap$

$H_{11}| = 3$ and $|M_2(\mathcal{A}') \cap H_{11}| = 1$. In particular, $H_2 \cap H_{11} = \{2, 7\}$ or $\{2, 6, 10\}$. Assume that $H_2 \cap H_{11} = \{2, 7\} = (-\zeta, 0)$. Then $M_2(\mathcal{A}') \cap H_{11} = \{\{5, 6, 9\}\}$ or $\{\{5, 8, 10\}\}$. If $H_{11} \ni \{5, 6, 9\} = (\zeta, 1)$, we have $h_{11} = x - 2\zeta y + \zeta$. Therefore $H_{10} \cap H_{11} \in M_1(\mathcal{A})$, a contradiction. If $H_{11} \ni \{5, 8, 10\}$, we have $H_{11} \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}') = \{\{2, 7\}, \{3, 9\}, \{4, 6\}\}$. Since $\{4, 6\} = (1, 1)$, we have $h_{11} = x - (\zeta + 1)y + \zeta$, which contradicts to $H_{11} \parallel H_8$. Assume that $H_2 \cap H_{11} = \{2, 6, 10\}$. Then we have $M_1(\mathcal{A}') \cap H_{11} = \{\{3, 8\}, \{4, 9\}, \{5, 7\}\}$. Since $\{4, 9\} = (1, \zeta - 1)$ and $\{5, 7\} = (\zeta + 1, \zeta + 1)$ we have $H_{11} \not\parallel H_2$, a contradiction.

Now we may assume that $F(\mathcal{A}) = [10, 5, 5]$.

4.6. **Lattice structure of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$.** We determine the lattice of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$. First we show that $\overline{PQ} \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $P, Q \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$, $P \neq Q$. Assume that there exist $P, Q \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\overline{PQ} \notin \mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ has $10 + 5 + 5 = 20$ intersection points, and $\mathcal{A}_P \cup \mathcal{A}_Q$ covers $4 \cdot 4 + 2 = 18$ of them. We set the left 2 intersection points in $\mathcal{A} \setminus (\mathcal{A}_P \cup \mathcal{A}_Q)$ as T_1 and T_2. If $H = \overline{T_1T_2} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\{T_1, T_2\} \cap (\mathcal{A}_P \cap H) \neq \emptyset$ since $|\mathcal{A}_P \cap H| = 4$ and $n_{\mathcal{A},H} \leq 5$. However, it contradicts to the choice of T_i. If $\overline{T_1T_2} \notin \mathcal{A}$, then $(\mathcal{A}_P \cup \mathcal{A}_Q) \cap (\mathcal{A}_{T_1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{T_2}) \neq \emptyset$ since $|\mathcal{A}| = 11$, $|\mathcal{A}_P \cup \mathcal{A}_Q| = 8$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{T_1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{T_2}| \geq 4$. It also contradicts to the choice of T_i. Next we determine $F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 10$. Note that $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 5$ for $H \in \mathcal{A}$ since $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 2 \cdot 10 + 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5 = 5 \cdot 11$. We also have $F_{H,3}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$ for $H \in \mathcal{A}$ since $\mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = 10 < 1 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3$. It follows that, for $P, Q \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$ with $P \neq Q$, $\overline{PQ} \in \mathcal{A}$ are distinct each other, forming $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ lines of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus we may assume $F_{H_i,3}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$, i.e., $F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A}) = [2, 1, 2]$, for $1 \leq i \leq 10$. Since $4 \cdot 5 = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} F_{H,3}(\mathcal{A}) = 2 \cdot 10 + F_{H_{11},3}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $F_{H_{11},3}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, i.e., $F_{H_{11}}(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 5, 0]$. Therefore, we have

$$F_{H_i}(\mathcal{A}) = [2, 1, 2]\ (1 \leq i \leq 10), \quad F_{H_{11}}(\mathcal{A}) = [0, 5, 0].$$

We investigate the lattice structure of $\mathcal{A}$. We may set $M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \{P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$ and

$$H_1 = \overline{P_1P_2},\ H_2 = \overline{P_1P_3},\ H_3 = \overline{P_1P_4},\ H_4 = \overline{P_1P_5},\ H_5 = \overline{P_2P_3},$$
$$H_6 = \overline{P_2P_4},\ H_7 = \overline{P_2P_5},\ H_8 = \overline{P_3P_4},\ H_9 = \overline{P_3P_5},\ H_{10} = \overline{P_4P_5},$$

or, in other words, $M_3(\mathcal{A})$ consists of the following five points.

$$P_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\},\ P_2 = \{1, 5, 6, 7\},\ P_3 = \{2, 5, 8, 9\},\ P_4 = \{3, 6, 8, 10\},\ P_5 = \{4, 7, 9, 10\}.$$

Since $H_1 \cap H_{11} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ lies on H_8, H_9 or H_{10}, we may set $\{1, 9, 11\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ by symmetry. Since $H_3 \cap H_{11} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ lies on H_5 or H_7, we may set $\{3, 5, 11\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ by symmetry of $(2, 4)(5, 7)(8, 10)$. Investigating $H_{10} \cap H_{11}, H_6 \cap H_{11}, H_8 \cap H_{11} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ in this order, we have $\{2, 10, 11\}, \{4, 6, 11\}, \{7, 8, 11\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$. Thus $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is determined.

$$M_2(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{1, 9, 11\},\ \{2, 10, 11\},\ \{3, 5, 11\},\ \{4, 6, 11\},\ \{7, 8, 11\}\}.$$

Now $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ and $M_3(\mathcal{A})$ are determined, which gives the lattice structure of $\mathcal{A}$.

4.7. **Realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$.** We determine the realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We may set H_{11} as the infinity line H_∞, $P_1 = (0, 1)$, $P_2 = (0, 0)$ and $P_3 = (1, 0)$. By definition of H_1, H_2, H_5 and the fact that $P_3 \in H_9 \parallel H_1$ and $P_1 \in H_3 \parallel H_5$ imply that

$$h_1 = x,\ h_2 = x + y - 1,\ h_3 = y - 1,\ h_5 = y,\ h_9 = x - 1.$$

We set $P_4 = (p, 1)$ and $P_5 = (1, q)$. Since $H_{10} = \overline{P_4P_5} \parallel H_2$ and $H_4 = \overline{P_1P_5} \parallel H_6 = \overline{P_2P_4}$, we have $p = q$ and $p(q - 1) = 1$. Thus we have $p = q = \zeta$ where ζ is a solution of $\zeta^2 - \zeta - 1 = 0$. The left defining equations h_i of H_i are as follows.

$$h_4 = x - \zeta y + \zeta,\ h_6 = x - \zeta y,\ h_7 = \zeta x - y,\ h_8 = \zeta x - y - \zeta,\ h_{10} = x + y - \zeta - 1.$$

By this construction, for the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{11} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{11} \mid \sigma(L(\mathcal{A})) = L(\mathcal{A})\}$, there exists a $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action sending each H_i to $H_{\sigma(i)}$, or sending each H_i to $\overline{H_{\sigma(i)}}$, where $\overline{H_i}$ stands for the Galois conjugate of H_i by $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]/\mathbb{Q})$. Note also that $\mathcal{A}$ is transferred to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by $[(x, y, z) \mapsto (\zeta x + y, x + \zeta y, z)] \in \mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$, which sends H_i to $\overline{H_{\nu(i)}}$ where $\nu = (1, 6, 5, 7)(2, 10)(3, 8, 9, 4) \in \mathfrak{S}^*_{11}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is realized uniquely up to the $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action.

4.8. **Verifying $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11} \subset \mathcal{R}_{11}$.** We check the freeness of $\mathcal{A}$ realized in §4.7 and show that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{11}$. We set $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H_{12}\}$ where $h_{12} = x - y$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cap H_{12} = \left\{(0,0),\ (1,1),\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right),\ (\zeta+1,\zeta+1),\ \left(\frac{\zeta+1}{2},\frac{\zeta+1}{2}\right),\ H_{12}\cap H_\infty\right\}.$$

Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}} + 6$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{A}_1, t) = (t-1)(t-5)(t-6)$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_1) = (1,5,6)$ by Theorem 2.7, and hence $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$. We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_2\}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_\infty} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{11}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_2) = (1,5,5)$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_2,H_2} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{11}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{11}$.

Remark 4.1. Note that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{11}$ satisfying $F(\mathcal{A}) = [10,5,5]$ does not necessary belong to $\mathcal{S}_{11}$. For example, the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ defined by the equation $xyz(x^2-z^2)(y^2-z^2)(x^2-y^2)(x-y+z)(x-y+2z)$ satisfies $F(\mathcal{A}) = [10,5,5]$ but $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{11}$.

Definition 4.2. The arrangement of Example 4.59 in [8] is the cone of the line arrangement consisted of 5 sides and 5 diagonals of a regular pentagon, defined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\mathrm{pen}} \quad = \quad & z\,(4x^2+2x-z)\\ & (x^4-10x^2y^2+5y^4+6x^3z-10xy^2z+11x^2z^2-5y^2z^2+6xz^3+z^4)\\ & (x^4-10x^2y^2+5y^4-4x^3z+20xy^2z+6x^2z^2-10y^2z^2-4xz^3+z^4).\end{aligned}$$

An arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ is called *pentagonal* if it is $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-equivalent to $(\varphi_{\mathrm{pen}} = 0)$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = (\varphi_{\mathrm{pen}} = 0)$ satisfies $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$ and $F(\mathcal{A}) = [10,5,5]$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{S}_{11} = \{\text{pentagonal arrangements}\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{11}.$$

By the description in §4.7, the lattice of a pentagonal arrangement is realized over $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$.

4.9. **Addition to $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$.** The structures of $\mathcal{F}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{12}$ are given as below.

Proposition 4.3.
(1) *Let $H \in \mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ has two possibilities up to the* $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$*-action.*
(2) *$\mathcal{F}_{11} = \mathcal{R}_{11} = \mathcal{I}_{11} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \mathcal{I}_{12} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{12}$.*

Proof.
(1) We may assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has the description as in §4.6 and §4.7. By Theorem 2.3, we have $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H} = 6$. Note that $F_{H,3}(\mathcal{A}_1) \le 1$ since $M_2(\mathcal{A}) \subset H_{11}$ and $F_{H,4}(\mathcal{A}_1) \le 1$ since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1,H} = 11 < 1\cdot 4 + 4\cdot 2$. Thus $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [1,5,0,0], [2,3,1,0], [3,2,0,1]$ or $[4,0,1,1]$. Suppose $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [1,5,0,0]$. By the description in §4.6, we have

$$M_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{1,8\},\{4,8\},\{4,5\},\{5,10\},\{1,10\}\} \cup \{\{2,6\},\{2,7\},\{3,7\},\{3,9\},\{6,9\}\}.$$

However, since one of the above two sets contains 3 elements of $H \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})$, H coincides with some $H_i \in \mathcal{A}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) \ne [1,5,0,0]$. Suppose $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [2,3,1,0]$. Note that the permutation $\rho = (1,5,8,10,4)(2,6,9,3,7)$ is an element of $\mathfrak{S}_{11}^*$, and the group $\langle\rho\rangle$ acts on $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ or $M_3(\mathcal{A})$ transitively. Since ρ is realized by the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]/\mathbb{Q})$, we may assume $\{1,9,11\} \in H$, i.e., $H \parallel (x=0)$. On the other hand, by the direct calculation, we have

$$M_1(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{\begin{array}{lll}\{1,8\} = (0,-\zeta), & \{1,10\} = (0,\zeta+1), & \{2,6\} = (\zeta-1,2-\zeta),\\ \{4,5\} = (-\zeta,0), & \{5,10\} = (1+\zeta,0), & \{2,7\} = (2-\zeta,\zeta-1),\\ \{3,7\} = (\zeta-1,1), & \{3,9\} = (1,1), & \{4,8\} = (\zeta+1,\zeta+1).\\ \{6,9\} = (1,\zeta-1), & & \end{array}\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that no three points of $M_1(\mathcal{A})$ share the same x-coordinate, which contradicts to $F_{H,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 3$. Therefore $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) \ne [2,3,1,0]$. We show that, for each $\Gamma \in \{[3,2,0,1],[4,0,1,1]\}$ and for each $P \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$, exists the unique line $H \notin \mathcal{A}$ such that $P \in H$ and $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = \Gamma$. First we assume $\{1,2,3,4\} \in H$. Suppose $\Gamma = [3,2,0,1]$. Since

$H \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have $H \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{5,10\},\{6,9\}\}$, and hence $H = (x + (1+\zeta)(y-1) = 0)$. It is easy to check that $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3,2,0,1]$. Suppose $\Gamma = [4,0,1,1]$. Since $H \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have $H \cap M_2(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{7,8,11\}\}$, and hence $H = (\zeta x - y + 1 = 0)$. It is easy to check that $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [4,0,1,1]$, We have seen that the unique H exists for each Γ if $P = \{1,2,3,4\}$. To have the unique H passing through another $P \in M_3(\mathcal{A})$, we have only to apply ρ repeatedly. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_1$'s sharing the same $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1)$ are transferred by the $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-action. Next we show $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_1$ in §4.8 satisfies $F_{H_{12}}(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3,2,0,1]$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ when $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3,2,0,1]$. We show that $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ when $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1) = [4,0,1,1]$. We may assume $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H\}$ with $H = (\zeta x - y + 1 = 0)$. By Theorem 2.3, we see that $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_1) = (1,5,6)$. We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_5\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_9\}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_5} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{11}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1,5,5)$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_2,H_9} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$. Therefore, we have $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{11}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$. Thus we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ for both cases of $F_H(\mathcal{A}_1)$.

(2) Since $\mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{11} \subset \mathcal{R}_{11}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{11} = \mathcal{I}_{11} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{11} = \mathcal{R}_{11}$ by Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{12} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{12}$. Then, there exists $H \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\} \in \mathcal{F}_{11} = \mathcal{I}_{11} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{11}$. If $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{I}_{11}$, then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$. If $\mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{S}_{11}$, we also have $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{12}$ by (1). Thus $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \mathcal{I}_{12} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_{12}$. □

5. Determination of $\mathcal{S}_{12}$

In this section, we show that $\mathcal{S}_{12}$ consists of monomial arrangements associated to the group $G(4,4,3)$.

5.1. **Realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}$.** Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}$. By Proposition 2.13, $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0,16,3]$. We show that $F_H(\mathcal{A}) = [0,4,1]$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that $n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 5$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, since $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 3 \cdot 16 + 4 \cdot 3 = 5 \cdot 12$. We have $F_{H,3}(\mathcal{A}) \le 1$ for $H \in \mathcal{A}$, since $\mu_{\mathcal{A},H} = 11 < 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 2$. If $M_3(H_0, \mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$ for some $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, then $11 = \mu_{P,H_0} = 2F_{H_0,2}(\mathcal{A})$, a contradiction. Thus, for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $F_{H,3}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, and hence $F_H(\mathcal{A}) = [0,4,1]$. We determine the realization of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We may set

$$M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{1,2,3,4\},\{5,6,7,8\},\{9,10,11,12\}\}.$$

Since $F_{H_9,2}(\mathcal{A}) = 4$, we may assume $M_2(H_9,\mathcal{A}) = \{\{1,5,9\},\{2,6,9\},\{3,7,9\},\{4,8,9\}\}$. We may set $\overline{\{1,2,3,4\}\{5,6,7,8\}} \notin \mathcal{A}$ as the infinity line H_∞ and

$$h_1 = x,\ h_2 = x-1,\ h_3 = x-p,\ h_4 = x-q,\ h_5 = y,\ h_6 = y-1,\ h_7 = y-s,\ h_8 = y-t.$$

where $|\{0,1,p,q\}| = |\{0,1,s,t\}| = 4$. By choice of H_9, we have $s = p$, $t = q$ and $h_9 = x - y$. We set $\alpha_i = \prod_{j=0}^{3} h_{4i-j}$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Since $F_{H,2}(\mathcal{A}) = 4$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathrm{V}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) = M_2(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathrm{V}(\alpha_3)$. Therefore we have $\alpha_3 \in \sqrt{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)} = (\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$. Since $\deg(\alpha_i) = 4$ for $i = 1,2,3$, there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_3 = a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2$. Since $\alpha_3 \in (x-y)$, we have $b = -a$. Therefore we may set $a = 1, b = -1$. Now we obtain

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = x(x-1)(x-p)(x-q) - y(y-1)(y-p)(y-q).$$

Set $\beta = h_{10}h_{11}h_{12} = \alpha_3/(x-y)$. Then we have

$$\beta = x^3 + x^2y + xy^2 + y^3 - (p+q+1)(x^2+xy+y^2) + (pq+p+q)(x+y) - pq.$$

Since β is a symmetric polynomial in x and y, we may set

$$\beta = u^{-1}(x+uy+v)(ux+y+v)(x+y-2w). \quad (u,v,w,\in\mathbb{C},\ u \ne 0).$$

Then we have $\{9,10,11,12\} = (w,w)$ and hence $v = -(1+u)w$. Comparing coefficients of x^2y, x^2, x and constant terms, we obtain $1 = u + 1 + u^{-1}$, $-p-q-1 = -w(u+4+u^{-1})$, $pq+p+q = 3u^{-1}(1+u)^2w^2$ and $-pq = -2u^{-1}(1+u)^2w^3$. Therefore we have

$$u^2 = -1, \quad p+q = 4w-1, \quad pq+p+q = 6w^2, \quad pq = 4w^3.$$

and hence $2w - 1 = 0, \pm\sqrt{-1}$. Now it is easy to show that

$$\{1,p,q\} = \left\{1, \pm\sqrt{-1}, 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}\right\}, \left\{1, (1+\sqrt{-1})/2, (1-\sqrt{-1})/2\right\}.$$

These 3 possibilities of (p,q) are identified by the actions $(x,y) \mapsto (x/p, y/p)$ or $(x,y) \mapsto (x/q, y/q)$, which corresponds to the changing of scale so as to set H_2, H_3 or H_4 to be $(x=1)$. Here we adopt $\{p,q\} = \{\sqrt{-1}, 1+\sqrt{-1}\}$. Then we have

$$\alpha_3 = (x-y)(x-\sqrt{-1}y-1)(x+y-1-\sqrt{-1})(x+\sqrt{-1}y-\sqrt{-1}).$$

Now we have obtained the unique realization of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}$ up to the $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-action.

5.2. **Verifying $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12} \subset \mathcal{R}_{12}$.** We set $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H_\infty\}$. It is easy to see that $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_\infty} = 6$. Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}} + 6$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{A}_1,t) = (t-1)(t-5)(t-7)$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{13}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_1) = (1,5,7)$ by Theorem 2.7, and hence $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}$. We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_9\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_{10}\}$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_9} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_2) = (1,5,6)$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_2,H_{10}} = 6$, we have $\mathcal{A}_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{11} = \mathcal{R}_{11}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{12}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{12}$.

In fact, to check whether $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{12}$ or not, we have only to check $F(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{12}$ satisfies $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0,16,3]$, then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{12}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_{12}$, there exists $H_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n_{\mathcal{A},H_1} \geq 6$. Since $\sum_{H\in\mathcal{A}} n_{\mathcal{A},H} = 5\cdot 12$, there exists $H_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n_{\mathcal{A},H_2} \leq 4$. Since $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0,16,3]$, we have $F_{H_2}(\mathcal{A}) = [0,1,3]$. Take $H_3 \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_2\}$ such that $\mu_{H_2\cap H_3}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$. Then, since $H_3 \cap M_3(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$, we have $12-1 = \mu_{\mathcal{A},H_3} = 2n_{\mathcal{A},H_3}$, a contradiction. □

Definition 5.2. An arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$ is called a monomial arrangement associated to the group $G(4,4,3)$ (see B.1 of [8]), if it is $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-equivalent to $(\varphi_{4,4,3} = 0)$, where

$$\varphi_{4,4,3} = (x^4-y^4)(y^4-z^4)(z^4-x^4).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = (\varphi_{4,4,3} = 0)$ satisfies $F(\mathcal{A}) = [0,16,3]$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{S}_{12} = \{\text{monomial arrangements associated to the group } G(4,4,3)\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{12}.$$

By Proposition 4.3, we have $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \mathcal{S}_{12} \sqcup \mathcal{I}_{12} = \mathcal{R}_{12}$. Thus (1) of Theorem 1.1 is verified.

6. An arrangement with 13 hyperplanes

In this section, we construct a free arrangement of 13 planes in $\mathbb{C}^3$ which is neither recursively free or rigid.

6.1. **Definition of $\mathcal{A}$.** Let $\mathcal{A}'_\lambda = \{H_1,\dots,H_{12}\}$ be the line arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^2$, where H_i is defined by h_i below for each $1 \leq i \leq 12$, with a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= -\sqrt{3}x - y + \lambda + 1,\\
h_2 &= 2y + \lambda + 1,\\
h_3 &= \sqrt{3}x - y + \lambda + 1,\\
h_4 &= \sqrt{3}x - y + \lambda - 2,\\
h_5 &= -\sqrt{3}x - y + \lambda - 2,\\
h_6 &= 2y + \lambda - 2,\\
h_7 &= 2y - 2\lambda + 1,\\
h_8 &= \sqrt{3}x - y - 2\lambda + 1,\\
h_9 &= -\sqrt{3}x - y - 2\lambda + 1,\\
h_{10} &= (\lambda+1)y + \sqrt{3}(1-\lambda)x - \lambda^2 + \lambda - 1,\\
h_{11} &= \sqrt{3}\lambda x + (\lambda-2)y - \lambda^2 + \lambda - 1,\\
h_{12} &= (1-2\lambda)y - \sqrt{3}x - \lambda^2 + \lambda - 1.
\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 1. $\mathcal{A}'_\lambda$ with $\lambda = 2/3$.

Note that $\mathcal{A}'_\lambda$ is symmetric with respect to the rotation with angle $-2\pi/3$, which defines the action on $\mathcal{A}'_\lambda$ given by $H_{3i} \mapsto H_{3i-2} \mapsto H_{3i-1} \mapsto H_{3i}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \mathrm{c}\mathcal{A}'_\lambda$ be the cone of $\mathcal{A}'_\lambda$. We denote the infinity line H_∞ by H_{13}. $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}$ if there is no confusion.

For generic $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we see by calculation (or by reading off from the figure) that

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\{1,6,8\},\{2,4,9\},\{3,5,7\}\}, \\ M_3(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\{1,5,9,13\},\{2,6,7,13\},\{3,4,8,13\}\} \subset H_{13}, \\ M_4(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\{1,4,7,10,11\},\{2,5,8,11,12\},\{3,6,9,10,12\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Other intersection points of $\mathcal{A}$ form $M_1(\mathcal{A})$. We denote this lattice structure by L_0. Note that, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ after the $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-action $[x \mapsto x/\sqrt{3}, y \mapsto y]$. Therefore L_0 is realized over $\mathbb{Q}$. This section is devoted to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1.
(1) *If $\lambda \in \{0, 1, -\omega^{\pm 1}\}$, then $|\mathcal{A}_\lambda| < 13$.*
(2) *If $\lambda \in \{-1, 2^{\pm 1}\}$, then $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \in \mathcal{I}_{13}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_\lambda) = (1,5,7)$.*
(3) *Set $Z = \{0, \pm 1, -\omega^{\pm 1}, 2^{\pm 1}\}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$, then $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{13}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}_\lambda) = (1,6,6)$.*
(4) *The moduli space $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_0)$ of the lattice L_0 is a one dimensional set $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_0) = (\mathbb{C} \setminus Z) / \sim$ with each $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_0)$ parametrizing an arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$, where $\sim$ stands for the equivalence relation generated by the relations $\lambda \sim \lambda^{-1}$ and $\lambda \sim 1 - \lambda$.*
(5) *The symmetry group of L_0 is $\mathrm{Aut}(L_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_3$.*
(6) *Set $W = \left\{\pm\sqrt{-1}, 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}, (1 \pm \sqrt{-1})/2, (\pm 1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2, (3 \pm \sqrt{5})/2\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_{13} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{13}$ if and only if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (Z \sqcup W)$.*

6.2. **Degeneration of $\mathcal{A}$.** We show (1) and (2) of Proposition 6.1, and that $L(\mathcal{A}_\lambda) = L_0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$.

By the symmetry of the rotation, it is easy to see that $|\{H_i \mid 1 \le i \le 9\}| = 9 \Leftrightarrow |\{H_2, H_6, H_7\}| = 3 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \neq 0, 1$. We also see that H_{10}, H_{11}, H_{12} are non-parallel to x-axis if $\lambda \neq 0, 1$, distinct each other if $\lambda \neq -\omega^{\pm 1}$, and all coincide if $\lambda = -\omega^{\pm 1}$, where ω is the primitive third root of unity. Therefore it follows that $|\mathcal{A}| = 13 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, -\omega^{\pm 1}\}$.

Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, -\omega^{\pm 1}\}$. The lattice structure is corrupted only when the origin lies on H_i for $i = 2, 6, 7, 10$. The cases $i = 2, 6, 7$ correspond to $\lambda = -1, 2, 1/2$ respectively. The case $i = 10$ corresponds to $\lambda = -\omega^{\pm 1}$. Therefore we have $L(\mathcal{A}) = L_0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$.

When $\lambda \in \{-1, 2^{\pm 1}\}$, the origin lies on H_i for some $i \in \{2, 6, 7\}$. As the origin gives rise to the new intersection point $\{3\lceil i/3 \rceil - j \mid 0 \le j \le 2\} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$, we have $F(\mathcal{A}) = [18, 4, 3, 3]$. Since $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} = 47$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)(t-5)(t-7)$. We set $\{i, j_1, j_2\} = \{2, 6, 7\}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_{j_1}\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_{j_2}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_\infty\}$. Observe that $n_{\mathcal{A}, H_{j_1}} = n_{\mathcal{A}_1, H_{j_2}} = n_{\mathcal{A}_2, H_\infty} = 6$ and $\mathcal{A}_3$ is super solvable. Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{13}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1,5,7)$.

6.3. **Freeness of $\mathcal{A}$.** We show (3) of Proposition 6.1.

Since $L(\mathcal{A}) = L_0$, it follows that $F(\mathcal{A}) = [21, 3, 3, 3]$, $n_{\mathcal{A}, H} = 6$ for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)(t-6)^2$. Therefore, we have only to show that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_{13}$. We show it in terms of Yoshinaga's criterion in [14].

Let $(\mathcal{A}'', m)$ be the Ziegler restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ onto H_∞. It is defined by

$$y^3(-\sqrt{3}x - y)^3(\sqrt{3}x - y)^3\{(\lambda+1)y + \sqrt{3}(1-\lambda)x)\}\{\sqrt{3}\lambda x + (\lambda-2)y\}\{(1-2\lambda)y - \sqrt{3}x\} = 0.$$

By the change of coordinates

$$u = y + \sqrt{3}x, \ v = y - \sqrt{3}x,$$

the defining equation of $(\mathcal{A}'', m)$ becomes

$$u^3 v^3 (u+v)^3 (u + \lambda v)(u + v - \lambda u)(\lambda u + \lambda v - v) = 0.$$

Now recall the following.

Proposition 6.2 ([14])**.** *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a central arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^3$, $H \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $(\mathcal{B}'', m)$ be the Ziegler restriction of $\mathcal{B}$ onto H. Assume that $\chi(\mathcal{B}, t) = (t-1)(t-a)(t-b)$, and $\exp(\mathcal{B}'', m) = (d_1, d_2)$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is free if and only if $ab = d_1 d_2$.*

Also, recall that, for a central multiarrangement (C, m) in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with $\exp(C, m) = (e_1, e_2)$ $(e_1 \leq e_2)$, it holds that $e_1 + e_2 = |m| = \sum_{H \in C} m(H)$ and $e_1 = \min_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}\{d \mid D(C, m)_d \neq 0\}$. This follows from the fact that $D(C, m)$ is a rank two free module. For example, see [1].

Now since $\chi(\mathcal{A}, t) = (t-1)(t-6)^2$, it suffices to show that every homogeneous derivation of degree five is zero.

Assume that $\theta \in D(\mathcal{A}'', m)$ is homogeneous of degree five and show that $\theta = 0$. To check it, first, let us introduce a submultiarrangement $(\mathcal{B}, m')$ of $(\mathcal{A}'', m)$ defined by

$$u^3v^3(u+v)^3 = 0.$$

The freeness of $(\mathcal{B}, m')$ is well-known. In fact, we can give its explicit basis as follows.

$$\partial_1 = (u+2v)u^3\partial_u - (2u+v)v^3\partial_v,\ \partial_2 = (u+3v)vu^3\partial_u + (3u+v)uv^3\partial_v.$$

So $\exp(\mathcal{B}, m') = (4, 5)$. Since $D(\mathcal{B}, m') \supset D(\mathcal{A}'', m)$, there are scalars $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\theta = (au + bv)\partial_1 + c\partial_2.$$

The scalars a, b and c are determined by the tangency conditions to the three lines $u + \lambda v = 0,\ u + v - \lambda u = 0,\ \lambda u + \lambda v - v = 0$. Then a direct computation shows that a, b and c satisfy

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 & -\lambda(\lambda+1) \\ 1 & \lambda-1 & -(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) \\ \lambda-1 & -\lambda & -\lambda(\lambda-1)(2\lambda-1) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda^2-\lambda+1)a \\ (\lambda^2-\lambda+1)b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The above linear equations imply that

$$-\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda^2-\lambda+1)a \\ (\lambda^2-\lambda+1)b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z$, it holds that $a = b = c = 0$. Hence $\theta = 0$, that is to say, $D(\mathcal{A}'', m)_5 = 0$. Now apply Proposition 6.2 to show that $\mathcal{A}$ is free with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1, 6, 6)$.

We can also construct the basis of $D(\mathcal{A})$ explicitly and give an alternative proof of the freeness of $\mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 2.1. However, we omit to describe it here because of its lengthy.

6.4. **Moduli of the lattice L_0.** We show (4) and (5) of Proposition 6.1.

Since three parallel affine lines of $\mathcal{A}$ are transferred each other by the rotation, the ratio of the distances among them coincides up to its ordering. Looking at the equations h_2, h_6, h_7, we calculate the ratio as follows.

$$\{(\lambda+1)-(\lambda-2)\} : \{(\lambda-2)-(-2\lambda+1)\} : \{(-2\lambda+1)-(\lambda+1)\} = (-1) : (1-\lambda) : \lambda.$$

We assume that $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is transferred to $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$ by a $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action ρ and determine the relation between λ and λ'. Note that H_{13} is distinguished among $\mathcal{A}$ by the feature $F_{H_{13}}(\mathcal{A}) = [3, 0, 3]$. Thus we may assume that ρ preserves $H_{13} = H_\infty$ and hence the ratio above up to the ordering. Therefore we have $\lambda' \in S_\lambda$ where

$$S_\lambda = \left\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, 1-\lambda, (1-\lambda)^{-1}, 1-\lambda^{-1}, (1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1}\right\}.$$

In fact, any $\lambda' \in S_\lambda$ gives $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}$ equivalent to $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$. Actually, $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda^{-1}}$ is realized by $\rho_1 = [(x, y) \mapsto (-\lambda x, \lambda y)]$, which sends H_i to $H_{\sigma_1(i)}$ where $\sigma_1 = (1,3)(4,9)(5,8)(6,7)(11,12)$, while $\mathcal{A}_{1-\lambda}$ is realized by $\rho_2 = [(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)]$, which sends H_i to $H_{\sigma_2(i)}$ where $\sigma_2 = (1,4)(2,6)(3,5)(8,9)(10,11)$. Composing them, we also have the realizations for $\lambda' \in \{(1-\lambda)^{-1}, 1-\lambda^{-1}, (1-\lambda^{-1})^{-1}\}$.

Now it is shown that the set of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ parametrized by $(\mathbb{C} \setminus Z)/\sim$, where $\lambda \sim \lambda'$ holds if and only if $\lambda' \in S_\lambda$, forms a non-trivial one dimensional family of the realizations of the lattice L_0. We show that, it gives the whole moduli space of the realizations of L_0 by determining all the realizations up to the $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$-action.

We may set H_{13} as the infinity line H_∞, $h_1 = x$, $h_2 = y - 1$, $h_5 = x - 1$, $h_6 = y$, and

$$\{1, 4, 7, 10, 11\} = (0, p), \quad \{3, 6, 9, 10, 12\} = (q, 0).$$

Then we have $\{1,6,8\} = (0,0)$, $\{2,5,8,11,12\} = (1,1)$ and

$$h_8 = x - y,\ h_{10} = px + qy - pq,\ h_{11} = (p-1)x + y - p,\ h_{12} = x + (q-1)y - q.$$

Since $(q,0) \in H_3 \parallel H_8$, $(0,p) \in H_4 \parallel H_8$, $(0,p) \in H_7 \parallel H_6$ and $(q,0) \in H_9 \parallel H_1$, we have

$$h_3 = x - y - q,\ h_4 = x - y + p,\ h_7 = y - p,\ h_9 = x - q.$$

Since $\{2,4,9\} = (q,1) \in H_4$ and $\{3,5,7\} = (1,p) \in H_3$, we have $p + q = 1$. Thus all the realizations are expressed in terms of at most one parameter.

Now set $p = 1 - \lambda$, $q = \lambda$ and apply the following $\mathrm{PGL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-action

$$\left[x \mapsto -(\sqrt{3}x + y - \lambda - 1)/3,\ y \mapsto -(2y + \lambda - 2)/3\right].$$

Then we can recover the equations $\{h_i \mid 1 \le i \le 12\}$ in §6.1. Therefore it is verified that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_0) = (\mathbb{C} \setminus Z)/\sim$. Now it is easy to see that the symmetric group of L_0 is given by the rotations and the equivalence relations. The former corresponds to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and the latter corresponds to $\mathfrak{S}_3$.

6.5. **Non-recursive freeness of $\mathcal{A}$.** We show (6) of Proposition 6.1.

Step 1. We show that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$ if $\lambda \in W$.

Note that W is the union of the equivalent classes of $\sqrt{-1}$ and $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$ with respect to the equivalence relation $\sim$ in §6.4. Thus we have only to consider the cases when $\lambda = \sqrt{-1}$ or $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$.

In the case when $\lambda = \sqrt{-1}$, we set $H_{14} = \overline{\{1,2\}\{5,10\}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H_{14}\}$. Then, the intersection points on H_{14} is calculated as follows.

$$\{3,4,8,13,14\}, \{1,2,14\}, \{5,10,14\}, \{7,12,14\}, \{6,14\}, \{9,14\}, \{11,14\}.$$

We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_6\}$, $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_9\}$, $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{A}_3 \setminus \{H_1\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_5 = \mathcal{A}_4 \setminus \{H_{13}\}$. Then it is easy to see that $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_6} = n_{\mathcal{A}_2,H_9} = 7$ and $n_{\mathcal{A}_3,H_1} = n_{\mathcal{A}_4,H_{13}} = 6$. Now, since $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1,6,6)$, we have $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{F}_{15-i}$ for $1 \le i \le 5$ by Theorem 2.3. Since $\mathcal{A}_5 \in \mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$ by Proposition 3.3, it follows that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$.

In the case when $\lambda = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$, we set $H_{14} = \overline{\{1,4\}\{2,3\}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{H_{14}\}$. Then, the intersection points on H_{14} is calculated as follows.

$$\{1,4,7,10,11,14\}, \{2,3,14\}, \{5,6,14\}, \{8,14\}, \{9,14\}, \{12,14\}, \{13,14\}.$$

We set $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \{H_9\}$, $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \{H_{12}\}$, $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{A}_3 \setminus \{H_{13}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_5 = \mathcal{A}_4 \setminus \{H_2\}$. Then it is easy to see that $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,H_9} = n_{\mathcal{A}_2,H_{12}} = 7$ and $n_{\mathcal{A}_3,H_{13}} = n_{\mathcal{A}_4,H_2} = 6$. Now, since $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1,6,6)$, we have $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{F}_{15-i}$ for $1 \le i \le 5$ by Theorem 2.3. Since $\mathcal{A}_5 \in \mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{I}_{10}$ by Proposition 3.3, it follows that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$.

Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$ if $\lambda \in W$.

Next, we assume that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (Z \cup W)$ and deduce the contradiction. Recall that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{13}$ with $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = (1,6,6)$. Since $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{13}$, there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cup \{L\} \in \mathcal{F}_{14}$. Note that $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,L} = 7$ by Theorem 2.3.

Step 2. We show that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \in \{[4,2,0,0,1], [3,3,0,1], [3,2,2], [2,4,1], [1,6]\}$.

Let $P, Q \in \bigcup_{i=2}^4 M_i(\mathcal{A})$ with $P \ne Q$. Then $\overline{PQ} \in \mathcal{A}$ unless $P, Q \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$. Also note that 3 points of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ are not collinear since $\lambda \ne -\omega^{\pm 1}$. Therefore we have $\sum_{i=3}^5 F_{L,i}(\mathcal{A}_1) \le 2$, where the equality holds only when $F_{L,3}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 2$. Since $n_{\mathcal{A}_1,L} = 7$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1,L} = 13$, we have only 5 possibilities of $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1)$ appearing in the assertion.

In the rest of this subsection, we show that each possibilities of $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1)$ above cannot occur. For the later use, we present the list of the elements of $M_1(\mathcal{A})$ explicitly as follows.

$$(*) \qquad M_1(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{lllllll} \{1,2\}, & \{2,10\}, & \{4,5\}, & \{5,10\}, & \{7,8\}, & \{8,10\}, & \{10,13\}, \\ \{2,3\}, & \{3,11\}, & \{5,6\}, & \{6,11\}, & \{8,9\}, & \{9,11\}, & \{11,13\}, \\ \{3,1\}, & \{1,12\}, & \{6,4\}, & \{4,12\}, & \{9,7\}, & \{7,12\}, & \{12,13\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Step 3. We analyze the case when $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) = [4, 2, 0, 0, 1]$.

Since $|L \cap M_4(\mathcal{A})| = F_{L,5}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 1$, we may assume $P = \{1, 4, 7, 10, 11\} \in L$ by symmetry. Since $L \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have $L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\{2, 3\}, \{5, 6\}, \{8, 9\}, \{12, 13\}\}$. Note that σ_2 in §6.4 permutes $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{5, 6\}$, while the composition of the rotation and σ_1 in §6.4 permutes $\{5, 6\}$ and $\{8, 9\}$. Since $|L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})| = F_{L,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 2$, we may assume that $L = \overline{PQ}$ where $Q = \{2, 3\}$ and that $\{5, 6\} \in L$ or $\{12, 13\} \in L$. By calculation, we see that $\{5, 6\} \in L$ implies $\lambda = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$ and that $\{12, 13\} \in L$ implies $\lambda = 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}$. Since $\lambda \notin W$, both cases cannot occur. Therefore we conclude that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \neq [4, 2, 0, 0, 1]$.

Before looking into other cases, we give a useful observation.

Step 4. We show that if $P_i \in H_{9+i} \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then $\{P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \not\subset L$.

Assume that $\{P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \subset L$. Recall that $L \notin \mathcal{A}$. By the actions of the rotation and σ_1, σ_2 in §6.4, we may assume $P_1 = \{2, 10\}$, $P_2 = \{6, 11\}$ and $P_3 \in \{\{7, 12\}, \{12, 13\}\}$. By calculation, we see that $\{7, 12\} \in \overline{P_1P_2}$ or $\{12, 13\} \in \overline{P_1P_2}$ imply $\lambda \in \{1/2, -\omega^{\pm 1}\} \subset W$, a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $\{P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \not\subset L$.

Step 5. We analyze the case when $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3, 3, 0, 1]$.

Since $F_{L,4}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 1$, we may assume $P = \{2, 6, 7, 13\} \in L$ by symmetry. It follows that

$$L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\{1, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{8, 9\}, \{1, 12\}, \{3, 11\}, \{4, 12\}, \{5, 10\}, \{8, 10\}, \{9, 11\}\}.$$

Considering the actions of σ_1, σ_2 in §6.4 and using Step 4 and $F_{L,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 3$, we may assume that $\{\{1, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\} \subset L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})$ or $\{\{1, 3\}, \{5, 10\}\} \subset L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})$. Since H_2 and $\overline{\{1, 3\}\{4, 5\}}$ are parallel to x-axis and y-axis respectively, the former cannot occur. Thus we have $L = \overline{\{1, 3\}\{5, 10\}}$. Since $P \in L$, by calculation, we have $\lambda = 1/2 \in W$, a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \neq [3, 3, 0, 1]$.

Step 6. We analyze the case when $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) = [3, 2, 2]$.

Since $F_{L,3}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 2$, we may assume that $L \cap M_2(\mathcal{A}) = \{\{1, 6, 8\}\{2, 4, 9\}\}$ by the symmetry of the rotation. Therefore we have $L = \overline{\{1, 6, 8\}\{2, 4, 9\}}$ and

$$L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\{3, 11\}, \{5, 10\}, \{7, 12\}, \{10, 13\}, \{11, 13\}, \{12, 13\}\}.$$

Note that σ_2 in §6.4 permutes $\{3, 11\}$ and $\{5, 10\}$, while the composition of the rotation and σ_1 in §6.4 permutes $\{5, 10\}$ and $\{7, 12\}$. Since $F_{L,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 2$, we may assume that $\{3, 11\} \in L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})$. By calculation, it follows that $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{-1} \in W$, a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \neq [3, 2, 2]$.

Step 7. We analyze the case when $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) = [2, 4, 1]$.

Since $F_{L,3}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 1$, we may assume that $P = \{\{1, 6, 8\}\} \in L \cap M_2(\mathcal{A})$ by the symmetry of the rotation. Therefore we have

$$L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{9, 7\}\} \cup H_{10} \cup H_{11} \cup H_{12}.$$

By Step 4 and $F_{L,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = 4$, we see that $|L \cap \{\{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{9, 7\}\}| \geq 2$. Note that the composition of the rotation and σ_2 in §6.4 permutes $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$, while the composition of the rotation and σ_1 in §6.4 permutes $\{4, 5\}$ and $\{9, 7\}$. Thus we may assume $L = \overline{\{2, 3\}\{4, 5\}}$. By calculation, we see that $P \in L$ implies $\lambda = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2 \in W$, a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \neq [2, 4, 1]$.

Step 8. We analyze the case when $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) = [1, 6]$.

Let U_i be the set of points appearing in the i-th column of the list $(*)$ in Step 2 for $1 \leq i \leq 7$. By Step 4, we have $\left|L \cap \bigcup_{i=2,4,6,7} U_i\right| \leq 2$. Since $L \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have $|L \cap U_i| \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$. Thus it follows that $6 = F_{L,2}(\mathcal{A}_1) = |L \cap M_1(\mathcal{A})| \leq 2 + 3 = 5$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $F_L(\mathcal{A}_1) \neq [1, 6]$.

Now the proof of $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{R}_{13}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (Z \cup W)$ is completed.

7. An arrangement with 15 hyperplanes

In this section we present a further example of a free but not recursively free arrangement whose intersection lattice defines a one dimensional moduli space. One obtains this arrangement for example as a deformation of a simplicial arrangement or as a subarrangement of a restriction of a reflection arrangement. But it also showed up in several other experiments.

In contrast to all other results of this paper, we prove all the properties of this intersection lattice with the computer. The techniques presented here may also be used to verify all the claims of Section 6. For instance, the following algorithm may be used to prove that all arrangements in a given moduli space are free.

Algorithm 7.1. `ModuliSpaceIsFree`(L)
Checks that all arrangements in $\mathcal{V}(L)$ are free.

Input: The intersection lattice $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ of a free arrangement $\mathcal{A}$.

Output: "True" if all realizations of L are free, "Terao's open problem is false" else.

1. Realize the moduli space as a locally closed set (use the algorithm in [3]): Construct ideals I_0, J such that the moduli space is $\mathcal{V}(I_0) \setminus \mathcal{V}(J)$, preferably $\mathcal{V}(I_0)$ should be as small as possible.
2. Compute a primary decomposition of I_0.
3. If I_0 has dimension zero and is a primary ideal, then return "True" (the lattice is rigid).
4. Perform the next steps for each prime I in the decomposition of I_0.
5. We now have a realization $\mathcal{A}_K$ of the intersection lattice over the field of fractions K of the coordinate ring of I (this is an integral domain since I is prime).
6. If $\mathcal{A}_K$ is not free in K^r, then return "Terao's open problem is false".
7. If $\mathcal{A}_K$ is free in K^r, then compute a basis B of its logarithmic derivation module.
8. Compute the Saito determinant d of B; this is an element of K, i.e., a rational function modulo I in the variables defining I.
9. Include the information that $d/Q(\mathcal{A}_K)$ is well defined and non-zero into the ideal I, and iterate the above until I is zero dimensional.
10. The zero set of I is now finite. Exclude the solutions which give the wrong intersection lattice (they occur because we have ignored the ideal J).
11. If all remaining solutions are free, return "True", otherwise return "Terao's open problem is false".

Let us now define the desired intersection lattice with 15 planes. Define $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[t]^3$ as

$$\begin{aligned} R \;=\; & \{(1,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,1),(1,t,1),(0,1,0),(2,1,1),\\ & (t+1,t,1),(t+1,1,1),(2t,t,1),(1,-t+1,1),\\ & (-3t+1,t^2-3t+1,-t),(3t-1,t,t),\\ & (-3t+1,-t^2,-t),(3t-1,2t-1,t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\mathcal{A}_t = \{\ker_{\mathbb{Q}(t)} \alpha \mid \alpha \in R\}$ the arrangement defined by R in the space $\mathbb{Q}(t)^3$. For any field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and each $\lambda \in K$, we also have a corresponding arrangement

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda := \{\ker_K \alpha(\lambda) \mid \alpha \in R\}$$

in K^3.

Proposition 7.2. *The intersection lattice $L(\mathcal{A}_t)$ has the following properties.*

(1) *The moduli space of $L(\mathcal{A}_t)$ is one dimensional. More precisely,*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(\mathcal{A}_t)) = \{\mathcal{A}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Z\} \quad \textit{where} \quad Z = \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{2}, \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}\right\}.$$

(2) *If $\lambda \in \{0, 1, 1/2\}$ then $|\mathcal{A}_t| \neq |\mathcal{A}_\lambda|$.*



FIGURE 2. An arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ with 15 hyperplanes.

(3) *If* $\lambda \in \left\{(3 \pm 2\sqrt{2})/2, (-1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2\right\}$ *then* $|\mathcal{A}_t| = |\mathcal{A}_\lambda|$. *However,* $L(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)$ *is the intersection lattice of the simplicial arrangement* $\mathcal{A}(15, 1)$ *in Grünbaum's notation (see* [7]*). Thus in this case, the arrangements* $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ *are free with exponents* $(1, 5, 9)$.
(4) *The arrangement* $\mathcal{A}_t$ *is free but not recursively free with exponents* $(1, 7, 7)$.
(5) *The arrangements* $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ *for* $\lambda \in \{-1, 1/3, 2, (1 \pm \sqrt{-1})/2, 2/3\}$ *are recursively free. All other arrangements* $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ *with* $\lambda \notin Z$ *are free but not recursively free.*
(6) *The symmetry group of* $L(\mathcal{A}_t)$ *is a wreath product,* $\mathrm{Aut}(L(\mathcal{A}_t)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathfrak{S}_3$*, thus it is isomorphic to the reflection group of type* B_3.

Proof. (1) We compute the moduli space using the algorithm of [3]. Notice that contrary to the example with 13 planes, there are no automorphisms of the intersection lattice which induce projectivities here.
(4) We check the freeness results with Algorithm 7.1.
(5) If $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is an arrangement consisting of $\mathcal{A}_t$ plus an extra hyperplane H, then there are three possible cases. The hyperplane H does not contain any intersection point of $\mathcal{A}_t$, H goes through exactly one "old" intersection point, or H goes through at least two "old" intersection points. The first two cases are easy to handle. Thus we have finitely many possible hyperplanes going through at least two points of $\mathcal{A}_t$ to check. For each such hyperplane H we perform the following steps.

- Compute the new intersection points $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ between H and lines in $\mathcal{A}_t$.
- Consider all solutions t for which some p_i coincides with an intersection point of $\mathcal{A}_t$.
- For all these (finitely many) specializations λ of t, check the recursive freeness of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$.

Specializing t to $\lambda = -1, 1/3, 2, (1 + \sqrt{-1})/2, (1 - \sqrt{-1})/2, 2/3$ and including the kernels of $(2, -1, -1)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(2, 0, 1)$, $(2, 0, 1 - \sqrt{-1})$, $(2, 0, 1 + \sqrt{-1})$, $(4, 3, 3)$ respectively into

$\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ yields inductively free arrangements with exponents $(1,7,8)$.
(2), (3), and (6) are direct computations performed with SINGULAR and GAP. □

REFERENCES

[1] T. Abe. Roots of characteristic polynomials and intersection points of line arrangements. *arXiv:1302.3822*.
[2] T. Abe, H. Kawanoue and T. Nozawa. The smallest line arrangement which is free but not recursively free. *arXiv:1406.5820*.
[3] M. Cuntz. Minimal fields of definition for simplicial arrangements in the real projective plane. *Innov. Incidence Geom.*, 12:12, 2011.
[4] M. Cuntz. Free, not recursively free and non rigid arrangements. *arXiv:1406.6154*.
[5] M. Cuntz and T. Hoge. Free but not recursively free arrangements. to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2013.
[6] D. Faenzi and J. Vallès. Logarithmic bundles and line arrangements, an approach via the standard construction. *arXiv:1209.4934*.
[7] B. Grünbaum. A catalogue of simplicial arrangements in the real projective plane. *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 2(1):1–25, 2009.
[8] P. Orlik and H. Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, volume 300 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[9] K. Saito. Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 27(2):265–291, 1980.
[10] H. Terao. Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness. I, II. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 27(2):293–320, 1980.
[11] H. Terao. Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shepherd-Todd-Brieskorn formula. *Invent. Math.*, 63(1):159–179, 1981.
[12] H. Terao. The exponents of a free hypersurface. In *Singularities, Part 2 (Arcata, Calif., 1981)*, volume 40 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 561–566. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
[13] M. Wakefield and S. Yuzvinsky. Derivations of an effective divisor on the complex projective line. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(9):4389–4403 (electronic),2007.
[14] M. Yoshinaga. On the freeness of 3-arrangements. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 37(1):126–134, 2005.
[15] M. Yoshinaga. Freeness of hyperplane arrangements and related topics. *arXiv:1212.3523*.
[16] S. Yuzvinsky. Free and locally free arrangements with a given intersection lattice. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(3):745–752, 1993.
[17] G. M. Ziegler. Multiarrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness. In *Singularities (Iowa City, IA, 1986)*, volume 90 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 345–359. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
[18] G. M. Ziegler. Combinatorial construction of logarithmic differential forms. *Adv. Math.*, 76(1):116–154, 1989.
[19] G. M. Ziegler. Matroid representations and free arrangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 320(2):525–541, 1990.

TAKURO ABE
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY
YOSHIDA-HONMACHI, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8501, JAPAN
E-mail address: abe.takuro.4c@kyoto-u.ac.jp

MICHAEL CUNTZ
INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, ZAHLENTHEORIE UND DISKRETE MATHEMATIK,
FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND PHYSIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER
WELFENGARTEN 1, D-30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY
E-mail address: cuntz@math.uni-hannover.de

HIRAKU KAWANOUE
RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY
KITASHIRAKAWA-OIWAKECHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: kawanoue@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

TAKESHI NOZAWA
MAIZURU NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
234 SHIRAYA, MAIZURU 625-8511, JAPAN
E-mail address: nozawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp